



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/608,357

06/27/2003

Padma Prabodh Varanasi

J-3866

7780

28165 7590 01/30/2009  
S.C. JOHNSON & SON, INC.  
1525 HOWE STREET  
RACINE, WI 53403-2236

EXAMINER

CHORBAJI, MONZER R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/30/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/608,357	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VARANASI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MONZER R. CHORBAJI	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MONZER R. CHORBAJI. (3) THOMAS P. RILEY.

(2) JILL WARDEN (SPE). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 13, 25 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Triplett et al. (U.S.P.N. 6,697,571).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Riley indicated that the Triplett reference does not teach the claimed volatile liquid having the described evaporation rate values and being measured and calculated by drop shape analysis. SPE Warden indicated that a non-final action rejection addressing the raised issues as obviousness in light of optimization of results reasoning will be mailed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Jill Warden/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797
--	---